

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
14 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC

MDL NO. 1917

15 This Document Relates to:

16 *Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*,
17 No. 3:11-cv-05513-SC;

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
HITACHI DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN
LIMINE TO COMPEL PLAINTIFFS TO
REFER TO DEFENDANT SHENZHEN
SEG HITACHI COLOR DISPLAY
DEVICES, LTD. BY ITS SPECIFIC,
INDIVIDUAL CORPORATE ENTITY
NAME OR AS "SEG"**

1 After full consideration of the evidence, briefs and authorities, and oral argument of counsel,
2 the Court finds that:

3 (a) Plaintiffs are prohibited from referring to defendant Shenzhen SEG Hitachi Color
4 Display Devices, Ltd. as “Hitachi,” a “Hitachi company,” “part of Hitachi,” or any similar term
5 implying Shenzhen SEG Hitachi Color Display Devices, Ltd. is controlled by any of Hitachi, Ltd.,
6 Hitachi Asia, Ltd., Hitachi America, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display Inc.), and
7 Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the “Hitachi Defendants”).

8 (b) Plaintiffs must refer to defendant Shenzhen SEG Hitachi Color Display Devices, Ltd.
9 by its specific, individual corporate entity name or as “SEG” in the above-captioned cases as to the
10 Hitachi Defendants.

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Hitachi Defendants’ Motion in Limine to Compel
12 Plaintiffs to Refer to Defendant Shenzhen SEG Hitachi Color Display Devices, Ltd. by its Specific,
13 Individual Corporate Entity Name or as “SEG” is GRANTED.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 Dated: _____

18 Hon. Samuel Conti
United States District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28